



കേരള സർക്കാർ
Government of Kerala
2018



Regn. No. KERBIL/2012/45073
dated 5-9-2012 with RNI
Reg. No. KL/TV(N)/634/2015-17

കേരള ഗസറ്റ് KERALA GAZETTE

ആധികാരികമായി പ്രസിദ്ധപ്പെടുത്തുന്നത്
PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

വാല്യം 7	തിരുവനന്തപുരം, ചൊവ്വ	2018 ജനുവരി 2 2nd January 2018	നമ്പർ	1
Vol. VII	Thiruvananthapuram, Tuesday	1193 ധനു 18 18th Dhanu 1193	No.	
		1939 പൗഷം 12 12th Pousha 1939		

PART I

Notifications and Orders issued by the Government

Labour and Skills Department

Labour and Skills (A)

ORDER

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1477/2017/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 9th November 2017.

*Read:—*1. GO. (Rt.) No. 196/2015/LBR dated 8-12-2015.

2. Lr. No. A-904/2017 dated 22-6-2017 from the Presiding Officer Industrial Tribunal, Thrissur.

3. Lr. No. 12477/2017 dated 12-10-2017 from the Labour Commissioner.

As per the Government Order read as 1st paper above the Industrial Tribunal, Thrissur has been constituted.

As per the letter read as 2nd paper above the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Thrissur has informed that the 115 Industrial disputes from Industrial Tribunal,

Palakkad has to be transferred to Industrial Tribunal, Thrissur for adjudication. Therefore he has requested that Government may withdraw and transfer the 115 cases from the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad to Industrial Tribunal, Thrissur for adjudication.

As per the letter read as 3rd paper above Labour Commissioner has recommended the proposal.

Government have examined the matter in detail and are pleased to accord sanction to transfer 115 Industrial disputes mentioned in the letter read as 2nd paper above, from the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad to Industrial Tribunal, Thrissur under Section 33(B) of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947.

By order of the Governor,

MOHANAKUMAR, A. K.,

Additional Secretary to Government.

ORDERS

(1)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1464/2017/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 7th November 2017.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between (1) Sri V. J. Sajan, (Reg. Owner K.L-13 P-7704 No. Bus Service), Vevukkattil Veedu, Puthanpalli, Varappuzha P. O., Ernakulam, (2) Sri P. A. Ratheesh, Operator K. L.-13 P-7704 No. Bus Service, Thekkumparampil Veedu, Eramam, Kadungallur P. O., Muppathadam, Aluva and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Private Motor Thozhilali Union (A.I.T.U.C.), Registration No. 145/81, A.I.T.U.C. Jilla Council Office, C. Achuthamenon Smaraka Mandiram, T.D. Road, Kochi-682 035 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said Industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri K. Narayanan, Conductor, KL-13-P-7704 Bus Services by the owners of KL-13-P-7704 Bus Service is justifiable or not? If not what are the reliefs he is entitled to?

(2)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1465/2017/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 7th November 2017.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri Prabhakaran, Proprietor, Amrithananda Cashew, Ajith Bhavan, Kottukkal P. O., Kottarazhikathu, Kollam and the workman of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Kerala Vanijya Vyavasaya Mazdoor Sangh, H.O. Mazdoor Bhavan, Chinnakkada, Kollam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said Industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Kollam. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the cessation of work in the establishment Amrithanatha Cashew, Thottamukku, Vayala by the Employer is illegal; if yes, what relief the workers are entitled to?

(3)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1472/2017/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 8th November 2017.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the P.T.A. Secretary, Govt. V.H.S.S., Vakkom, Vakkom Main Road, Thiruvananthapuram-695 306 and the workman of the above eferred restablishment Smt. Prabha, R., Padinjara Veedu, Vakkom, Vakkom P. O., Thiruvananthapuram-695 306 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said Industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment and service benefits to Smt. Prabha, R., Cook at Government V.H.S.S., Vakkom under School P.T.A. by its P.T.A. management is justifiable? If not what reliefs she is entitled to get?

(4)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1474/2017/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 8th November 2017.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between (1) Sri Joseph Mathew (Junior), Partner, Vagamon Tea Estate, Manarkkad Building, Pala (2) The Managing Director, Vagamon Tea Estate, Manarkkad Building, Pala (3) The Chief Executive Officer, Vagamon Tea Estate, Vagamon P. O., (4) The General Manager, Vagamon Tea Estate, Vagamon P. O. and the workman of the above referred establishment represented by the Secretary, Kerala State Estate Labour Union (I.N.T.U.C.) Elappara P. O. in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said Industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal Idukki (Peermade). The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the dismissal of Sri Thampan, C. R., No. 1724, Supervisor, Vagamon Tea Estate, Vagamon by the management is justifiable? If not what relief he is entitled to?

(5)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1480/2017/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 10th November 2017.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between (1) Sri P. Ramachandran, Partner, Siva Glass Tech, Thekkadath Veedu, Nettisseri P. O., Thrissur-680 657, (2) Sri C. P. Jayasuryan, Partner, Siva Glass Tech, Chirikkath Veedu, Nettisseri P. O., Thrissur-680 657, (3) Sri V. S. Sunil Kumar, Manager, Siva Glass Tech, Padinjare Vellanikkara, Madakkathara P.O., Thrissur-680 651 and the workmen of the above referred establishment Sri Umesh s/o Unnikrishnan, Thottancheri Veedu, Nettisseri, Thrissur-680 657 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said Industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Thrissur. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri Umesh, Glass Designer, by the management of M/s. Siva Glass Tech, West Vellanikkara P. O., Madakkathara, Thrissur is justifiable? If not what reliefs he is entitled to get?

(6)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1486/2017/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 13th November 2017.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Sri Mehaboob, Proprietor, Diamond Metals, Narakasserypadanna, Manamkulam, Chalappuram P. O., Kozhikode-673 002 and the workmen of the above referred establishment (1) Sri Sooryakanthi s/o Kumaran, Muringakandi Parambil House, Kallai P. O., Kozhikode, (2) The Secretary, Kozhikode District Motor and Engineering Workers Union (C.I.T.U.), Putheri Buildings, Pavamani Road, Kozhikode in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said Industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kozhikode. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri K. Sooryakanthi, Spinner by the management of Diamond Metals, Narakasserypadanna, Manamkulam, Kozhikode is justifiable? If not what are the remedies available to him?

(7)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1487/2017/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 13th November 2017.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Smt. Bagyalakshmi w/o late T.M.R. Kutty, Thevar Veedu, Panagattiri, Elavancherry, Palakkad-678 506 and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri Raveendran s/o Balan, Kuzhalode Veedu, Elavancherry, Palakkad-678 506 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said Industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the dismissal of driver Sri Raveendran by the employee Smt. Bagyalakshmi w/o late T.M.R. Kutty, Thevar Veedu, Panagattiri, Elavancherry, Palakkad-678 506 is justifiable? If not what relief he is entitled to?

(8)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1489/2017/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 13th November 2017.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between C. Radhakrishnan, Proprietor, G4S Secure Solutions (India) Private Ltd., H. No. 28/966-A, Rohini, Nellikkode Housing Colony, Chevayur, Kozhikode-673 017 and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri Ajayakumar, O., Navodayam, Arakinar P. O., Kozhikode-673 028 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said Industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kozhikode. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri Ajayakumar, Security Guard by the management of G4S Secure Solutions (India) Pvt. Ltd., Kozhikode is justifiable? If not what relief he is entitled to?

(9)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1490/2017/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 13th November 2017.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Chairman, Ahalya Foundation Eye Hospital, Kanalpirivu, 1/1053, Kozhippara P. O., Palakkad-678 557 and the worker of the above referred establishment Smt. Sheeba w/o Jayan, Thekkethil House, Emukkalampara, Parassikkal P. O., Palakkad District-678 556 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said Industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment of Smt. Sheeba is justifiable? If not what relief she is entitled to get?

(10)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1491/2017/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 13th November 2017.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri Shaju Chandran, E. P., Proprietor, Rajitha Metals, Court Road, Manjeri, Malappuram District-676 121 and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri Sukumaran, V. T., Vellithodi House, Valiyattiparamba, Manjeri P. O., Malappuram District-676 121 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said Industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kozhikode. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri Sukumaran, V. T., Vellithodi House, Valiyattiparamba, Manjeri P.O., Malappuram District by the Proprietor, Sri Shaju Chandran, E.P., Proprietor, Rajitha Metals, Court Road, Manjeri, Malappuram District is justifiable or not? If not what are the relief he is entitled to?

By order of the Governor,

SONIA WASHINGTON,

Deputy Secretary to Government.